

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/662,396	09/16/2003	Satoshi Wakabayashi	2003_1194	2178	
513	7590 08/26/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 2033 K STREET N. W.			NGUYEN, GEORGE BINH MINH		
SUITE 800	ET IV. VV.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20006-1021		3723		

DATE MAILED: 08/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	0///
	10/662,396	WAKABAYASHI	(,)
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	George Nguyen	3723	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	:
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of thir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.	•	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	•	, •	ts is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 are subject to restriction and/or electric description.			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		houth a Francisco	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceed applicant may not request that any objection to the		•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		` '	21(d)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		` '	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	opplication No received in this National Stage	;
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/662,396 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims1-5, drawn to a polishing apparatus including a polishing unit and a cleaning unit comprising a plurality of cleaning devices, classified in class 451, subclass 67.
 - II. Claim 6, drawn to a polishing apparatus with a controller for operating said linear transferring mechanism so as to perform one of serial processing and parallel processing, classified in class 451, subclass 5.
 - III. Claim 7, drawn to a transferring mechanism, classified in class 414, subclass 941.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as an apparatus for polishing a wafer and then cleaning said polished wafer. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 3. Inventions I and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP §

Art Unit: 3723

806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the holding mechanism can hold the wafer at the center by vacuum. The subcombination has separate utility such as holding the wafer for etching.

- 4. Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention III has separate utility such as holding the wafer during an etching process. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II or I is not required for Group III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Please note that upon the election of Group I invention, Applicant is required to elect one of the following species:
 - A. Species I, Figure 4B.
 - B. Species II, Figure 4C.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-308-0163. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday/630AM-300PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on 703-308-2687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free)

GEORGE NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

George Nguyen
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3723

GN - August 25, 2004